

Running Head: Dynamics of ecological communities

The characteristic time of ecological communities

Vicente J. Ontiveros^{a,1,*}, José A. Capitán^{a,b,†}, Emilio O. Casamayor^{c,‡}, and David Alonso^{a,§}

^aTheoretical and Computational Ecology, Center for Advanced Studies of Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Spanish Council for Scientific Research, Accés Cala St. Francesc 14, E-17300 Blanes, Spain

^bComplex Systems Group. Department of Applied Mathematics, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid. Av. Juan de Herrera, 6. E-28040 Madrid, Spain

^cIntegrative Freshwater Ecology Group, Centre of Advanced Studies of Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), Spanish Council for Scientific Research, Accés Cala St. Francesc 14, E-17300 Blanes, Spain

¹Corresponding author

*vicente.jimenez.ontiveros@gmail.com

†ja.capitan@upm.es

‡casamayor@ceab.csic.es

§dalonso@ceab.csic.es

Abstract

A simple description of temporal dynamics of ecological communities may help us understand how community assembly proceeds, predict ecological responses to environmental disturbances, and improve the performance of biological conservation actions. Although community changes take place at multiple temporal scales, the variation of species composition and richness over time across communities and habitats shows general patterns that may potentially reveal the main drivers of community dynamics. We used the simplest stochastic model of Island Biogeography to propose two quantities to characterize community dynamics: the *community characteristic time*, as a measure of the typical time scale of species richness change, and (ii) the *characteristic Jaccard index*, as a measure of temporal β diversity, i.e., the variation of community composition over time. In addition, the community characteristic time, which sets the temporal scale at which null, non-interacting species assemblages operate, allowed us to define a relative sampling frequency (to the characteristic time). Here we estimate these quantities across microbial and macroscopic species assemblages to highlight two related results. First, we illustrated both characteristic time and Jaccard index and their relation with classic time-series in ecology, and found that the most thoroughly sampled communities, relative to their characteristic time, presented the largest similarity between consecutive samples. Second, our analysis across a variety of habitats and taxa show that communities span a large range of species turnover, from potentially very fast (short characteristic times) to rather slow (long characteristic times) communities. This was in agreement with previous knowledge, but indicated that some habitats may have been sampled less frequently than required. Our work provides new perspectives to explore the temporal component in ecological studies and highlights the usefulness of simple approximations to the complex dynamics of ecological communities.

Key words: temporal dynamics, island biogeography, community composition, turnover, community ecology, microbial ecology, stochastic models, microbial communities.

1 Introduction

A central goal of community ecology is to understand temporal dynamics in species diversity and composition. Temporal dynamics are essential to gain a mechanistic comprehension of the drivers of community assembly, improve conservation and management measures, monitor the effect of disturbances, forecast the consequences of climate change, or even design and control microbial communities (Warren et al., 2015; Fisher et al., 2010; Supp and Ernest, 2014; Gonze et al., 2018). Although this body of knowledge is still limited (Hortal et al., 2015; Dornelas et al., 2014), its importance in ecology has been increasingly acknowledged (Levin, 1992; White et al., 2006; Dornelas et al., 2013). Deeply related to community dynamics, a central issue is to establish the temporal scale at which communities function. Previous research has established that temporal changes operate at multiple scales, as biotic and abiotic drivers of community change can interact in different complex, scale-dependent ways (Levin, 1992). However, community ecologists still aim to describe temporal dynamics with simple measures that summarize the inherent dynamics of ecological communities. These measures may help address relevant questions in ecology, such as the search for general patterns in community dynamics or the identification of baselines for detecting ecological changes (Sutherland et al., 2013).

Although the importance of temporal dynamics was hinted already by Darwin (Magurran, 2008), it can be considered that Preston was the first to study temporal scales in ecological communities. Preston (1960) introduced the concept of Species-Time relationships (STR), the relationship between time and the number of species we find in a sample as time increases. Other measures of richness or compositional change have been used since then,

as species turnover (Diamond and May, 1977; Russell et al., 1995; Hillebrand et al., 2018; Hallett et al., 2016), or the slope of similarity indices (such as the Jaccard index) as function of time (Dornelas et al., 2014). Moreover, some of these works (Diamond and May, 1977; Russell et al., 1995; Dornelas et al., 2014) also try to compare turnover or community similarity with models rooted in the Equilibrium Theory of Island Biogeography (ETIB).

The ETIB developed by MacArthur and Wilson (1967) can be considered as the first mechanistic attempt to understand community dynamics (May, 2010). ETIB is a neutral theory that focus on how a dynamic equilibrium between colonization and extinction determines both the average number of species at the steady state and community temporal turnover even after this equilibrium has been attained (Simberloff, 1969). Since censuses apart in time might underestimate repeated colonization and extinction events, Diamond and May (1977) introduce the term *uncertainty principle* to describe the problem of the choice of the optimal time interval between censuses. They built on ETIB to define a species-specific turnover index as a function of census interval. Further exploration of a similar community turnover index indicates that a simple measure of mean turnover is not enough for comparisons among communities (Russell et al., 1995). Recently, Dornelas et al. (2014) measured how diversity varies in time by comparing the observed slopes of the Jaccard index with the ones obtained for a null model based on random colonizations and extinctions. This random null model was not fitted to data, though. Other approaches try to understand diversity temporal change in ecological communities, based on either niche (Chisholm et al., 2014) or neutral (Allen and Savage, 2007; Kalyuzhny et al., 2015; Azaele et al., 2006) community dynamics, but require variability in species abundances. However, long temporal data on species abundances across whole communities are expensive to obtain and maintain (although Condit 1998; Dornelas et al. 2018 constitute remarkable exceptions of this). In addition, these methods do not apply when species presence and absence are the only data available.

The aim of this contribution is to consistently characterize temporal scales for species composition and richness across communities based only on species presence-absence data. These two features of community dynamics, i.e., the representative temporal scale that drives species richness temporal change, as well as the variation of community composition over time, are intertwined and, together, provide an overall picture of community change over time. To conduct this study, we make use of the stochastic formulation of ETIB as a Markovian birth-death process in continuous time (Alonso et al., 2015; Ontiveros et al., 2019). Under this approach, species colonization and extinction rates are estimated via species presence and absence from sampled communities at different time intervals. Based on these estimates, here we propose two quantities to globally characterize community dynamics: the *community characteristic time*, as a measure of the typical time scale of species richness change, and (ii) the *characteristic Jaccard index*, as a representative measure of the variation of community composition over time. Therefore, it can be regarded as an alternative measure of stability or temporal beta diversity. As a benchmark, we use first three well-studied, classic data sets (Table 1). Then, we also examine the ability of the model to characterize temporal scales of community variation, and their relation to composition temporal patterns, for a variety of community data sets spanning from micro- to macro-organisms, aiming to represent a wide range of communities, taxa and habitats (Table 1).

Our main findings are two-fold. First, we found a very good analytical approximation for the decay of the Jaccard index over time. In terms of sampling frequency, the most thoroughly sampled communities, relative to their characteristic times, were more similar, according to the mean Jaccard Index measured between consecutive samples. Second, using an array of different communities, including both macroscopic and microbial taxa, we studied whether actual sampling times were comparable to each community characteristic time. This quantity, as a measure of a typical temporal scale of community variation, can be used to inform about the optimal frequency at which communities should be sampled,

thus solving the *uncertainty principle* (Diamond and May, 1977).

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Data samples

Table 1 summarizes the datasets used in this study. We included three classic datasets that studied temporal aspects of ecological communities originally. Preston (1960) used Neotoma birds to first quantitatively describe STRs, whereas Simberloff and Wilson (1969) validated ETIB dynamics with island arthropods, and Diamond and May (1977) studied turnover rates for the Farne Islands bird communities. This allowed us to validate model performance in reproducing time variation in community composition as well as transient dynamics. We then used community data sets from Bacteria, fishes, and plants, which represent a wide range of communities, taxa and habitats. Most data sets were used to estimate the typical timescale of the associated communities. However, in order to study average community compositional change and its relation to characteristic and sampling times, we could only use the data sets that provided a larger number of sites.

2.2 Equilibrium Theory of Island Biogeography

In the 60s, MacArthur and Wilson (1967) proposed the Theory of Island Biogeography with the ultimate goal of explaining the geographical distribution of diversity. This theory was denominated Equilibrium Theory of Island Biogeography after the works by Simberloff (1969); Simberloff and Wilson (1969). The basic equation underlying ETIB can be expressed as

$$\frac{dS}{dt} = c(S_P - S) - eS, \quad (1)$$

where S stands for the species richness in a site, S_P for the number of species in the regional pool, and c (e) for the colonization (extinction) rate, which in the original theory were related to distance to the mainland and area of the island, respectively. The rates represent the proportion of sites where species colonize or undergo extinction per unit of time, but as only one site is considered here (as well as in the original formulation), the rates indicate the pace at which species enter or leave the site, or in the specific case of microbial communities, how the species rise or fall over the detection limit (Alonso et al., 2015; Ontiveros et al., 2019).

It is possible to easily calculate overall, community-aggregated estimates for colonization and extinction rates, assuming species independence in the dynamics, i.e., absence of interspecific interactions, as well as species equivalence in their rates, i.e., uniformity colonization and extinction rates across species (Alonso et al., 2015). As a consequence, community dynamics can be assumed to be governed by these two overall rates. These temporal rates yield an approximation to the diversity dynamics in the site. Estimation of the rates is detailed in Appendix S1, following Alonso et al. (2015) and Ontiveros et al. (2019).

2.3 Community characteristic time

Through these colonization and extinction rates we can define a representative time scale at which species richness and composition typically vary in a community. The solution of classical ETIB model, as well as its stochastic formulation (Alonso et al., 2015), shows clearly that the temporal evolution is governed by the combination $c + e$ (see Appendix S1: Eq. S6). Therefore, we can define a temporal scale at which diversity changes significantly, that we denominate *community characteristic time*, T_c , and its confidence interval length,

ΔT_c , via the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} T_c &= \frac{1}{c + e}, \\ \Delta T_c &= \frac{|\Delta c| + |\Delta e|}{(c + e)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where Δc (Δe) corresponds to the confidence interval of c (e). As shown in [Alonso et al. \(2015\)](#), in the case of regular sampling schemes, characteristic time depends directly on the time interval among samples, Δt , as well as on the colonization and extinction transition probabilities (see Appendix S1: Eq. S24). With all these elements, we define the number of samples taken in a characteristic time as ν_s , the *relative sampling frequency*, as

$$\nu_s = \frac{T_c}{\Delta t}. \quad (3)$$

If $\nu_s \approx 1$, communities are sampled between intervals comparable to the representative time scale of the system. Therefore, ν_s can be used as an indicator of how thoroughly the community is sampled to estimate community dynamics.

Estimated colonization and extinction rates also allow us to simulate the dynamics of the communities for model validation. We have done so for three classic examples of community dynamics, Neotoma birds, Farne Islands birds, and Florida Keys arthropods (see Appendix S1: Fig. S2). For Neotoma birds, the model was also validated by comparing with the STR (obtained accumulating the richness of consecutive samples) that our simulations produced. We obtained all estimates using the function `irregular_single_dataset` of package 'island' ([Ontiveros et al., 2019](#)), which allows calculating colonization and extinction rates for irregular sampling schemes, and simulations were performed using function `PA_simulation`.

2.4 Temporal β diversity: characteristic Jaccard index

The characteristic time defines a typical time scale for ecological community dynamics. To measure temporal β diversity or species compositional change over time, we used the Jaccard index, which accounts for richness but is invariant to abundance, being the perfect match for our measures. We also explored turnover (Diamond and May, 1977; Russell et al., 1995), as it is an almost complementary measure to Jaccard's index. We define both measures and find approximate expressions for their variation over time in Box 1. We defined the *characteristic Jaccard index* as the Jaccard index evaluated at the characteristic time T_c (see Box 1). Additionally, we tested how well our measures of temporal scale related to compositional change. Compositional change not only depends on characteristic time but also on its relationship with the mean time among samples, i.e., how often the community has been sampled, so we studied the variation of the Jaccard index with ν_s , the *relative sampling frequency*, see Eq. (3). We only calculated the mean Jaccard index between consecutive samples for habitats with enough replicates reported (arthropod communities in mangrove islands and shallow saline lakes from the Monegros desert).

3 Results

3.1 Model validation

The first question we asked is whether the model can reproduce, at least qualitatively, the temporal dynamics of ecological communities. To answer that question, we revisited three classic studies on community dynamics, namely: arthropod recolonization in island E3 of the Florida Keys, Farne Island birds, and Neotoma birds. First, we estimated colonization and extinction rates in the three communities, obtaining colonization rates of 5.64×10^{-3} , 2.04×10^{-4} and $7.47 \times 10^{-4} \text{ day}^{-1}$, and extinction rates of 1.14×10^{-2} , 4.10×10^{-4} , $2.84 \times 10^{-4} \text{ day}^{-1}$, for arthropods, island birds and deciduous forest (Neotoma) birds,

respectively. These rates allowed us to simulate the dynamics of the communities. In Appendix S1: Fig. S1 we show the performance of our model for these classic datasets. The observed richness, as a function of time, is inside the 95% confidence interval of the simulations in the three communities.

Now, we turn to richness and community composition patterns. Figure 1 presents the different patterns studied for the three classic datasets used in this contribution. We satisfactorily recovered the STR for Neotoma birds, turnover over time for the transient species in the Farne Islands, and the evolution of the Jaccard index over time for island E3 of the Florida Keys. We tested the performance of the stochastic ETIB model in reproducing the temporal change in β diversity over time in Figure 1c. We also calculated the characteristic Jaccard index for island E3, that is, the expected Jaccard index after a characteristic time, yielding a value of 0.406. Simulations also validate our estimation of the variation of the Jaccard index over time (i.e., Eq. (5) in Box 1), as can be checked in Appendix S1: Fig. S2. In sum, observational patterns are overall reasonably well reproduced by theoretical predictions.

3.2 Characteristic time and sampling frequency

We examined the temporal scales of different communities estimating their colonization and extinction rates, as well as their associated characteristic times. Figure 2 represents the characteristic time, T_c , as function of the mean sampling time interval for each dataset. Among microbial communities, soils had the slowest dynamics with a characteristic time of above seven months, while the communities found in humans, especially those on hands, had a faster characteristic time —about a few days. The macroscopic communities presented longer characteristic times of years, except the macroinvertebrates that yielded several weeks as the community characteristic time (Fig. 2). Therefore, our characteristic time estimates were consistent with the expected typical time that should drive community

dynamics emerging from different taxa assemblages, ranging from bacteria to macro-organisms. Even among bacterial communities our time estimates were consistent, cf. soils (slow dynamics) *vs.* human (fast dynamics).

Communities lying close to the line $\nu_s = 1$ were sampled in a time scale comparable with the characteristic time. Among the eleven studied datasets, five of them (humans, invertebrates, plants, birds) were sampled more frequently than the inferred characteristic time, while coral reef fishes, soil microbes and some saline lakes were slightly undersampled (Fig. 2).

3.3 Community composition temporal change

We investigated how community composition depended on the relative sampling frequency ν_s (i.e, how often the community was sampled relative to the characteristic time of the system). Using the two datasets that comprised a larger number of sites, we found that community similarity increased with ν_s , as demonstrated by the Spearman's ρ of this relation: $\rho = 0.976$, p-value < 0.001 for saline lakes; $\rho = 0.881$, p-value < 0.001 for arthropods (note that arthropods data were subsampled to improve statistics, see Figure 3). This result implies that oversampled communities exhibited a higher similarity in community composition.

4 Discussion

The current study has found that the simplest stochastic model of Equilibrium Island Biogeography Theory can recover richness and composition patterns such as the STR, turnover, or Jaccard index. Moreover, we have shown that the observed patterns depended on an inherent temporal scale, that we have called characteristic time. This measure may not only help us compare compositional change among different communities through the

characteristic Jaccard index, but it also aids in assessing whether we are sampling a community frequently enough to capture meaningful temporal variations and properly describe its dynamics. As our characteristic time sets up a typical scale of community change, the ambiguity in the choice of the time interval between censuses, i.e., the *uncertainty principle* posed originally by [Diamond and May \(1977\)](#), is effectively solved. In this sense, the results shown in Figure 2 give a precise hint of the communities that have been oversampled, in comparison with those undersampled. This emphasizes the importance of an accurate estimation of the time between samples. We suggest using the characteristic time T_c as a typical measure of community change, as well as the relative sampling frequency, ν_s , as an indicator of the proximity between community sampling times and the typical scale estimated by T_c . From our analysis, it is clear that undersampled communities exhibiting $\nu_s < 1$ require to be sampled more often to improve their dynamical description as well as proper estimates of the characteristic time (see Appendix S1: Fig. S5).

Our study may help to address several aspects of interest. The most evident of them is the resolution of the *uncertainty principle*. The estimation of characteristic times across habitats and taxa might allow us to study changes in community composition and richness at appropriate timescales, for which theoretical frameworks are currently lacking ([Hastings, 2010](#)). Although characteristic times can not be estimated *a priori*, wide application of the method might help ecologists to establish rules of thumb derived from our measures. Besides, we have shown that characteristic times are intimately associated with patterns of β -diversity. We propose the characteristic Jaccard index as a good single measure to compare horizontal communities (*sensu* [Vellend 2016](#)), in contrast with previous studies indicating that single measures are not enough to characterize composition dynamics ([Russell et al., 1995](#)). At the very least, our simple stochastic model of ETIB can be used as a null model of community dynamics, estimated from presence-absence data, representing a baseline for detecting ecological change ([Sutherland et al., 2013](#)). Such a

null model represents an improvement with respect to previous attempts that do not fit the parameters to the data (as in [Dornelas et al. 2014](#)).

Furthermore, our approach is relevant for monitoring and conservation, as it portraits random drift in community richness and composition ([Hillebrand et al., 2018](#)). Deviations from it may indicate departures of the two main hypothesis, *species equivalence* and *independence*, and/or the influence of abiotic factors on the community—we recall here that, in our model, species do not interact with each other (independence) and are characterized by equal colonization and extinction rates (equivalence). The influence of abiotic factors can also be modeled as previously demonstrated ([Ontiveros et al., 2019](#)), which potentially could help predict community dynamics under climate change, a much-needed venue of research ([Fisher et al., 2010](#); [Dornelas et al., 2013](#)). Our model may also capture the effect of disturbances ([Alonso et al., 2015](#)). Defaunation experiments ([Simberloff, 1969](#)) and simulations (see Fig. 1c and Appendix S1: Fig. S2) indicate that, roughly after four characteristic times, a completely defaunated island reached equilibrium in composition and richness (this is consistent with our estimates in Box 1; see also Appendix S1: Fig. S1). Although there are few data monitoring community assembly from scratch, we conjecture that four to five characteristics times are a general rule of thumb for a community to reach dynamical equilibrium in species composition after a huge perturbation. A similar time is needed to approach the asymptotical baseline of the Jaccard index, as shown in Box 1 (see also Figures 1c and Appendix S1: Fig. S2).

Our results coincide with previous studies in several ways. First, we recovered richness and composition patterns, such as Preston’s original STR ([Preston, 1960](#)), through simulation. Second, our approximation to turnover is analogous to that found in a previous study ([Russell et al., 1995](#)). Third, our estimates of temporal scales for different communities coincide with general intuition (Fig. 2). For example, we found slower dynamics for bacterial communities in soil than in aquatic environments, or birds compared with

arthropods. Also, the characteristic time for the marine microbial community is comparable with the corresponding estimates in a previous study (Benincà et al., 2008). However, we also identified a contrasting result. A previous meta-analysis found that the dynamics of lacustrine assemblages is faster than the dynamics of marine ones for macroscopic species (Korhonen et al., 2010), whereas we observed the contrary in microbial communities. Further work is needed to discern if characteristic times differentiate microbial communities from those of larger organisms. In any case, the lack of reliable estimates of timescales in ecology is striking, which may reflect unintentional biases towards evident natural cycles (i.e., seasonal or annual) or merely the lack of appropriate information on temporal trends of species richness (Hortal et al., 2015) due to long-standing institutional disincentives (Wolfe et al., 1987).

The study of microorganisms, which are inconspicuous but increasingly accessible, and their communities also suffers from this lack of information on temporal trends, and may prevent an adequate knowledge of the drivers of ecosystem functioning (Shade and Gilbert, 2015). Probably, external drivers are behind the temporal dynamics of microbial communities, in general, and the observed patterns of characteristic time in this manuscript, in particular. Factors such as exposure to different types of food or travel for human-associated communities (Caporaso et al., 2011), seasonal nutrient cycles for aquatic communities (Tinta et al., 2015), or annual cycles of litter production or root exudation in the case of soil communities (Bardgett et al., 2005) are indicated as the main drivers of the dynamics of these communities. However, intrinsic factors such as differential generation times or interactions may also play a role in microbial community dynamics (Bucci et al., 2016). Nonetheless, as the relative sampling frequency indicates some slightly undersampled communities, we are convinced that more frequent surveys will improve our knowledge of the temporal dynamics of microbial communities.

We are also conscious of exceptions and limitations in our approach. A note of caution is

due in the case of the estimation of turnover in the Farne Islands. When we considered all species in the community, our estimates of turnover were higher than the observed/predicted ones (Diamond and May, 1977). We attribute this discrepancy to four species that were always present in the community, whereas the rest of the species were occasional, effectively violating the assumption of *species equivalence*. Excluding these species solved this discrepancy, although it left a small number of species in each sampling, producing fluctuations that account for the observed deviations. As a general rule, one has to be cautious with the model when its two main assumptions, *species equivalence* and *independence*, are clearly violated. Therefore, we recommend its application preferentially to horizontal communities (Loreau, 2010; Vellend, 2010). Mild deviations from these assumptions, like the ones reported for the arthropod data previously (Cirtwill and Stouffer, 2016), are unlikely to affect much the estimates as can be observed here. Thus, the model acts as an *effective model* that integrates mild effects of interactions and niche differences in community dynamics. On the positive side, it is important to remark that the defaunation experiments performed by Simberloff and Wilson (1969) characterize the transient recolonization dynamics, so this dataset is very well suited to study the relaxation to equilibrium in community composition (Fig. 1c).

We have identified two additional issues when estimating characteristic times. The first one arises when we sample much less than characteristic times indicate. It might be happening for the less thoroughly sampled hydroperiods of shallow saline lakes. The second one evidences that characteristic time and temporal autocorrelation are associated, yielding a linear relationship between characteristic time and mean time between samples, which we have observed for bacterial communities in humans (Caporaso et al., 2011). We have devised procedures to identify these cases, as detailed in the Appendix S1.

Temporal scales in ecology are seldom studied nor characterized. In this contribution, we have shown the usefulness of a stochastic model of Island Biogeography and derived

measures of timescale and compositional change to recover richness and community dynamics. This theoretical work sheds light on the inherent temporal scales of ecological communities. Further work is needed to understand the temporal aspects of different communities and habitats, and elucidate whether our conjecture, i.e, ecological communities require 4 to 5 characteristic times to reach a dynamical equilibrium in their diversity, hold as additional datasets are analyzed. Moreover, we expect that the approximations to community compositional change we have developed would allow us to compare it across habitats and groups, searching for generalities. We hope that putting the focus on dynamic patterns of community ecology will aid in improving our understanding of the processes and forces that drive diversity in any kind of community.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the Spanish “Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad” under the projects BRIDGES (CGL2015-69043-P, to EOC and DA), by the Spanish “Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades” under the project CRISIS (PGC2018-096577-B-I00 to DA), and the Ramón y Cajal Fellowship program (DA). VJO have been supported by a Ph.D. contract funded by the Spanish "Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad" under the projects BRIDGES and SITES (CGL2015-69043-P, CGL2012-39964 to EOC and DA). We welcome the facilities and warm and creative atmosphere provided by the "white room" in the Computational Biology Lab (CBL) of the Center for Advanced Studies of Blanes. We thank the editor and two anonymous referees for comments that greatly improved the manuscript.

VJO, EOC and DA conceived the initial ideas. VJO, JAC and DA designed the methodology. VJO wrote a preliminary draft and analysed the data. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave final approval for publication.

References

- Allen, A. P., and V. M. Savage. 2007. Setting the absolute tempo of biodiversity dynamics. *Ecology Letters* **10**:637–646.
- Alonso, D., A. Pinyol-Gallemí, T. Alcoverro, and R. Arthur. 2015. Fish community reassembly after a coral mass mortality: higher trophic groups are subject to increased rates of extinction. *Ecology letters* **18**:451–61.
- Azaele, S., S. Pigolotti, J. R. Banavar, and A. Maritan. 2006. Dynamical evolution of ecosystems. *Nature* **444**:926–928.
- Bardgett, R. D., W. D. Bowman, R. Kaufmann, and S. K. Schmidt. 2005. A temporal approach to linking aboveground and belowground ecology. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **20**:634–641.
- Benincà, E., J. Huisman, R. Heerkloss, K. D. Jöhnk, P. Branco, E. H. Van Nes, M. Scheffer, and S. P. Ellner. 2008. Chaos in a long-term experiment with a plankton community. *Nature* **451**:822–825.
- Bucci, V., et al. 2016. MDSINE: Microbial Dynamical Systems INference Engine for microbiome time-series analyses. *Genome Biology* **17**:121.
- Cáliz, J., X. Triadó-Margarit, L. Camarero, and E. O. Casamayor. 2018. A long-term survey unveils strong seasonal patterns in the airborne microbiome coupled to general and regional atmospheric circulations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* **115**:12229–12234.
- Caporaso, J. G., et al. 2011. Moving pictures of the human microbiome. *Genome Biology* **12**:R50.
- Chisholm, R. A., et al. 2014. Temporal variability of forest communities: empirical estimates of population change in 4000 tree species. *Ecology Letters* **17**:855–865.

- Cirtwill, A. R., and D. B. Stouffer. 2016. Knowledge of predator-prey interactions improves predictions of immigration and extinction in island biogeography. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **25**:900–911.
- Condit, R. 1998. *Tropical Forest Census Plots*. Springer, Berlin.
- Diamond, J. M., and R. M. May. 1977. Species Turnover Rates on Islands: Dependence on Census Interval. *Science* **197**:266–270.
- Dornelas, M., et al. 2018. BioTIME: A database of biodiversity time series for the Anthropocene. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **27**:760–786.
- Dornelas, M., N. J. Gotelli, B. McGill, H. Shimadzu, F. Moyes, C. Sievers, and A. E. Magurran. 2014. Assemblage time series reveal biodiversity change but not systematic loss. *Science* **344**:296–9.
- Dornelas, M., et al. 2013. Quantifying temporal change in biodiversity: challenges and opportunities. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* **280**:20121931.
- Fisher, J. A. D., K. T. Frank, and W. C. Leggett. 2010. Dynamic macroecology on ecological time-scales. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **19**:1–15.
- Gilbert, J. A., et al. 2012. Defining seasonal marine microbial community dynamics. *The ISME Journal* **6**:298–308.
- Gonze, D., K. Z. Coyte, L. Lahti, and K. Faust. 2018. Microbial communities as dynamical systems. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* **44**:41–49.
- Hallett, L. M., S. K. Jones, A. A. M. MacDonald, M. B. Jones, D. F. Flynn, J. Ripplinger, P. Slaughter, C. Gries, and S. L. Collins. 2016. codyn: An r package of community dynamics metrics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **7**:1146–1151.

- Hartmann, M., P. A. Niklaus, S. Zimmermann, S. Schmutz, J. Kremer, K. Abarenkov,
P. Lüscher, F. Widmer, and B. Frey. 2014. Resistance and resilience of the forest soil
microbiome to logging-associated compaction. *The ISME journal* **8**:226–44.
- Hastings, A. 2010. Timescales, dynamics, and ecological understanding. *Ecology*
91:3471–3480.
- Hillebrand, H., et al. 2018. Biodiversity change is uncoupled from species richness trends:
Consequences for conservation and monitoring. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **55**:169–184.
- Hortal, J., F. de Bello, J. A. F. Diniz-Filho, T. M. Lewinsohn, J. M. Lobo, and R. J. Ladle.
2015. Seven Shortfalls that Beset Large-Scale Knowledge of Biodiversity. *Annual Review*
of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics **46**:523–549.
- Kalyuzhny, M., R. Kadmon, and N. M. Shnerb. 2015. A neutral theory with environmental
stochasticity explains static and dynamic properties of ecological communities. *Ecology*
Letters **18**:572–580.
- Korhonen, J. J., J. Soininen, and H. Hillebrand. 2010. A quantitative analysis of temporal
turnover in aquatic species assemblages across ecosystems. *Ecology* **91**:508–517.
- Levin, S. A. 1992. The Problem of Pattern and Scale in Ecology: The Robert H.
MacArthur Award Lecture. *Ecology* **73**:1943–1967.
- Loreau, M. 2010. From populations to ecosystems: Theoretical foundations for a new
ecological synthesis. Princeton University Press.
- MacArthur, R. H., and E. O. Wilson. 1967. *The Theory of Island Biogeography*. Princeton
University Press, Princeton.
- Magurran, A. E. 2008. Diversity Over Time. *Folia Geobotanica* **43**:319–327.

- May, R. M., 2010. Foreword. Pages vii–ix *in* J. B. Losos and R. E. Ricklefs, editors. The Theory of Island Biogeography Revisited. Princeton University Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- Ontiveros, V. J., J. A. Capitán, R. Arthur, E. O. Casamayor, and D. Alonso. 2019. Colonization and extinction rates estimated from temporal dynamics of ecological communities: The island R package. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **10**:1108–1117.
- Preston, F. W. 1960. Time and Space and the Variation of Species. *Ecology* **41**:611–627.
- Russell, G. J., J. M. Diamond, S. L. Pimm, and T. M. Reed. 1995. A Century of Turnover: Community Dynamics at Three Timescales. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **64**:628–641.
- Shade, A., and J. A. Gilbert. 2015. Temporal patterns of rarity provide a more complete view of microbial diversity. *Trends in Microbiology* **23**:335–340.
- Simberloff, D. 1969. Experimental zoogeography on islands: A model for insular colonization. *Ecology* **50**:296–314.
- Simberloff, D., and E. O. Wilson. 1969. Experimental zoogeography on islands: The colonization of empty islands. *Ecology* **50**:278–296.
- Supp, S. R., and S. K. M. Ernest. 2014. Species-level and community-level responses to disturbance: a cross-community analysis. *Ecology* **95**:1717–1723.
- Sutherland, W. J., et al. 2013. Identification of 100 fundamental ecological questions. *Journal of Ecology* **101**:58–67.
- Tinta, T., J. Vojvoda, P. Mozetič, I. Talaber, M. Vodopivec, F. Malfatti, and V. Turk. 2015. Bacterial community shift is induced by dynamic environmental parameters in a changing coastal ecosystem (northern Adriatic, northeastern Mediterranean Sea) - a 2-year time-series study. *Environmental Microbiology* **17**:3581–3596.

- Triadó-Margarit, X., J. A. Capitán, M. Menéndez-Serra, R. Ortiz-Álvarez, V. J. Ontiveros,
E. O. Casamayor, and D. Alonso. 2019. A Randomized Trait Community Clustering
approach to unveil consistent environmental thresholds in community assembly. *The*
ISME Journal **13**:2681–2689.
- Vellend, M. 2010. Conceptual synthesis in community ecology. *The Quarterly Review of*
Biology **85**:183–206.
- Vellend, M. 2016. *The Theory of Ecological Communities*. Princeton University Press.
- Warren, B. H., et al. 2015. Islands as model systems in ecology and evolution: prospects
fifty years after MacArthur-Wilson. *Ecology Letters* **18**:200–217.
- White, E. P., et al. 2006. A comparison of the species-time relationship across ecosystems
and taxonomic groups. *Oikos* **112**:185–195.
- Wolfe, D. A., M. A. Champ, D. A. Flemer, and A. J. Mearns. 1987. Long-Term Biological
Data Sets: Their Role in Research, Monitoring, and Management of Estuarine and
Coastal Marine Systems. *Estuaries* **10**:181.
- Zachmann, L., C. Moffet, and P. Adler. 2010. Mapped quadrats in sagebrush steppe:
long-term data for analyzing demographic rates and plantplant interactions. *Ecology*
91:3427.

Box 1. Community composition dynamics: Characteristic Jaccard Index

One of the most used indices to study the change in community composition is the Jaccard index. This index allows to compare sites using presence-absence data, and it is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{J}_{ij} = \frac{C}{A + B + C}, \quad (4)$$

where A is the number of species present at time t_i and not at time t_j , B the number of species present at time t_j and not at t_i , and C the number of species present at both times. Now, let p_i be the proportion of species present at time t_i and $\Delta t = t_j - t_i$ the interval of time between samples. As shown in Appendix S1, we can approximate the variation of the Jaccard index, for a relatively high number of species, in terms of colonization and extinction rates as:

$$\mathcal{J}_i(\Delta t) \approx \frac{p_i [c + e \exp(-(e + c)\Delta t)]}{p_i [e + c \exp(-(e + c)\Delta t)] + c [1 - \exp(-(e + c)\Delta t)]} \quad (5)$$

Therefore, the characteristic Jaccard index is defined as the value of the expression above when $\Delta t = T_c$.

Eq. (5) also hints that the Jaccard index reaches an asymptote after some time. Therefore, we have defined an asymptotic Jaccard index, $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_i$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{J}}_i = \lim_{\Delta t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{J}_i(\Delta t) \approx \frac{p_i c}{p_i e + c}, \quad (6)$$

which reduces to $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^* \approx \frac{c}{c+2e}$ starting from the occupancy at equilibrium, $p_i = \frac{c}{c+e}$.

We calculated bounds of the ratio $\frac{\Delta t}{T_c} = \frac{1}{\nu_s}$ for the system to reach approximately the asymptotic Jaccard index (see Appendix S1). Specifically, for $0.22 \leq \frac{e}{c} \leq 2.31$, the relative error $|J^*(\Delta t) - \tilde{\mathcal{J}}^*|/\tilde{\mathcal{J}}^*$ equals 10^{-2} if Δt satisfies the bounds $3.6 \leq \frac{\Delta t}{T_c} \leq 5.6$. This means that, for a wide range of extinction to colonization ratios, the system

takes from about 4 to 5 characteristic times to reach the asymptotic compositional state starting from an initial proportion of species $p_i = \frac{c}{c+e}$.

The same reasoning can be extended to turnover, \mathcal{T} , as defined by [Diamond and May \(1977\)](#). Translating this definition to the same components A , B and C as above, we have that

$$\mathcal{T}_{ij} = \frac{A + B}{A + B + 2C} \quad (7)$$

from which we obtain a similar expression for the evolution of turnover over time:

$$\mathcal{T}_i(\Delta t) \approx \frac{[\exp(\Delta t(e + c)) - 1] [(1 - p_i)c + p_i e]}{c [\exp(\Delta t(c + e))(p_i + 1) + p_i - 1] + p_i e [\exp(\Delta t(c + e)) + 1]} \quad (8)$$

The derivation of these and additional metrics can be found in Appendix S1.

478

Table 1: **Datasets used in this study.** The three first datasets were also used for model validation purposes. Duration expressed in years. Different sites were considered separately. The two hydroperiods of the shallow saline lakes were considered separately, as the lagoons dried in Summer, restarting the dynamics. * Dataset available in GenBank.

Habitat	Taxa	Location		Duration	Reference
Islands	Arthropods	Florida	Keys,	1.5	Simberloff and Wilson (1969)
Deciduous forest	Birds	Neotoma,	Ohio,	17	Preston (1960)
Island	Birds	Farne	Islands,	28	Diamond and May (1977)
		England			
Human	Bacteria	–		0.5 – 1.5	Caporaso et al. (2011)
Marine	Bacteria	English Channel		6	Gilbert et al. (2012)
Soils	Bacteria	Switzerland		4	Hartmann et al. (2014)
Aeroplankton	Bacteria	Pyrenees, Spain		7	Cáliz et al. (2018)
Shallow saline lakes	Bacteria	Monegros desert, Spain		2	Triadó-Margarit et al. (2019)
Alpine lakes	Bacteria	Pyrenees, Spain		1	PRJNA566370*
Coral reef	Fishes	Lakshadweep Archipelago, India		11	Alonso et al. (2015)
Sagebrush steppe	Plants	Idaho, USA		50	Zachmann et al. (2010)

Figures

Figure 1. Richness and community composition patterns recovered by a simple stochastic model of island biogeography. **a**, Species Time Relationship of deciduous forest birds community at Neotoma. Estimated accumulating species richness over all possible consecutive samplings, which yields a power-law relation $S \sim t^w$, S being the species richness and t the time in years, where $w = 0.089$ (p-value < 0.001). **b**, Turnover rate of island birds in Farne islands. Four species were always present and subsequently excluded. **c**, Community similarity measured with the Jaccard index, calculated between consecutive samples, for arthropods in Florida keys. In all panels, colored elements correspond to the observed community measures. Gray elements (shaded areas, boxplots or circles) correspond to model simulations. In panel **c**, both lines are moving averages of data (green) and simulations (dashed gray).

Figure 2. The characteristic time of different communities. T_c , characteristic time, ν_s , relative sampling frequency. We found that birds and plants had the slowest dynamics, while the fastest habitat is found in humans, especially in hands. Each point represents a site, and errorbars (gray) indicate the characteristic time error estimation. Along the dotted lines, the relative sampling frequency is constant.

Figure 3. Variation in community composition for multiple sites and two habitats. ν_s , relative sampling frequency. Community similarity is larger for oversampled communities. Arthropod communities showed higher values of the mean of Jaccard indices between consecutive samples than shallow saline lakes, as the former were sampled more thoroughly. For saline lakes, each point represents a sampling site, whereas arthropods communities additionally were sub-sampled to improve statistics. Sub-sampling involved the second next sample to calculate the Jaccard Index.




Figure 1:




Figure 2:




Figure 3:

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in Appendix S1.